**Coupled charge, orbital, and spin degrees of freedom in geometrically frustrated YFe2O4**

Thomas MUELLER1, **Manuel ANGST2**

1Jülich Centre for Neutron Science at Heinz Maier-Leibnitz Zentrum, Garching, Germany

2Jülich Centre for Neutron Science-2, Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Jülich, Germany

Rhombohedral rare earth ferrites *R*Fe2O4 have a strong tendency for coupled charge (Fe2+/3+) and spin order, despite strong geometrical frustration of the interactions [1]. For *R*=Lu or Yb corresponding superstructure reflections or diffuse scattering appear at $(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},l)$. However, the size of Y3+ is considerably larger, with calculations [2] suggesting smaller in-plane propagation, $\left(\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4}\right)$.

Here, we focus on single-crystal x-ray diffraction performed on highly stoichiometric single crystals [3] of YFe2O4. Magnetization vs *T* indicates two subsequent highly hysteretic magnetic transitions, separating a low-temperature (LT), an intermediate (IT), and a high-temperature (paramagnetic, HT) phase. XRD shows that these transitions are structural as well. In the HT phase, diffuse scattering at $(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},l)$ is similar to other rare earth ferrites. However, cooling into the magnetic phases, sharp superstructure reflections at different positions appear, with propagation $(\frac{2}{7},\frac{2}{7},\frac{3}{7})$ in IT and $(\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4},\frac{3}{4})$ in LT. We refined the superstructure in both phases and applied bond-valence-sum (BVS) analysis to probe the charge order [4].

In the LT phase, the structure is refined in $P\overbar{1}$, with the inversion center between the two Fe layers. The BVS indicates a full charge order, with two of the Fe sites having valence close to 2+ and the other two close to 3+. Although of $\left(\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4}\right)$-type, the arrangement of the valences differs from the prediction in [2]. Structural distortions also suggest (ferro) orbital order of the Fe2+ sites.

The IT phase is also refined in $P\overbar{1}$. A clear splitting of one Y indicates the presence of disorder, which is likely connected to a fragile nature of this phase, which was not theoretically predicted. Of the 7 Fe sites, BVS shows 3 to have valences very close to 2+, indicating a strong localization of 3 electrons on these sites. However, the BVS of one of the sites is about 2.5, and for the remaining sites also further away from the ideal 3 (BVS~2.8). Thus the localization of electrons in the IT phase is incomplete, and it must be a dynamic situation with electron hopping occurring.
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